Journal of Positive Psychology & Wellbeing
2022, Vol. 6, No. 3, 739-743
http://journalppw.com
ISSN 2587-0130

An Overview Recognizing Nephrotic Syndrome, Role Of General Physicians, Nursing And Clinical Laboratory

Mohammed Abdullatif Aldajani[1], Hani Yahya Maroof[2], Mohammed Bander Alenzi[2], Farhan Youssef Ali Alnkhali[3], Mohammed Saad Mohammed Alruways[4], Abdulrahman Saud Alharbi[5], Zahra Saeed Alyousef[6], Fatima Saeed Al Yousef[7], Ghazi Mansour Alharbi[8], Sultan Mohammed Almutairi[9], Salman Abdullah Aleissa[10], Baqer Abdulmatlub Jafar Alnakhli[11], Sultan Abdullah M Alomayri[12], Majed Abdullah Mohammed Alomayri[12], Huriyah Abdulwahab Saleh Alouf[13]

General Practition, Primary Health Care in Dammam[1]
Laboratory Specialist, East Jeddah Hospital [2]
King Salman Medical City in Medina, Laboratory[3]
Mohammed Saad Mohammed Alruways[4]
King Salman Medicalcity(Ksamcmed), Nursing Specialist[5]
Nursing Technician, Maternity and Children 'S Hospital in Dammam[6]
Senior Specialist Critical Care Nursing, Dammam Medicalcomplex[7]
Hajar Health Care, Nurse Technician[8]
Lab Specialist, Ministry of Health[9]
Nursing Technician, Jubail General Hospital[10]
Medical Laboratory Technician, King Salman Medical City in Medina, Laboratory[11]
Nursing And Midwifery, Alfawarih Primary Health Care[12]
Dammam Central Hospital, Nurse Tecntion[13]

Abstract

Nephrotic syndrome is a frequently diagnosed kidney illness in childhood, and its advancing stages can result in chronic kidney disease (CKD) and/or end-stage renal disease (ESRD). There is a lack of longitudinal research conducted on a multi-ethnic group to identify potential risk factors that may affect the susceptibility, responsiveness to treatment, and progression of nephrotic syndrome. Temporal correlations cannot be examined using a cross-sectional study design. The clinical nursing pathway encompasses the stages of developing and applying. In a prior study, a specialized nursing team developed a nursing pathway that involved the senior level of care, the visiting physician, the clinical laboratory, and nurses.The main sources of reference were local and foreign studies, as well as the specific characteristics of the patients in the selected group. The implementation of the nursing model must be carried out strictly in accordance with the formulated plan. Subsequently, it is necessary to specify the completed section, while the incomplete section forms the main substance of the following nursing phase. The clinical nursing pathway seeks to improve patient care by promoting collaboration among different healthcare professionals and guiding them in using treatment techniques that are supported by scientific evidence.

Keywords: *Physicians, Nursing and Clinical Laboratory, COVID-19.*

Introduction

The kidney disease known as idiopathic nephrotic syndrome is a condition that is frequently detected in children. Treatment-resistant variants of this condition can lead to scarring of the kidney, which can eventually lead to chronic kidney disease (CKD) and/or end-stage renal disease (ESRD) [1]. Nephrotic syndrome is characterized by a significant increase in the amount of protein that is lost through the urine as a consequence of alterations in the permselectivity barrier of the glomerular capillary wall [2]. This occurs when the limitation of protein loss to a minimal level is no longer possible. Nephrotic syndrome is characterized by a large loss of protein, which can lead to many complications, including pulmonary edema, thrombosis, and infections that can be fatal [2]. On a global scale, the incidence of nephrotic syndrome is estimated to range between 2 and 7 per 100,000 children. It has been reported that the prevalence of this condition is higher among children of African and South Asian descent [3,4]. It is not entirely clear what causes nephrotic syndrome; nonetheless, it is generally accepted that it is immune-mediated. This conclusion is based on the fact that steroids are effective in treating the underlying condition, as well as the fact that nephrotic syndrome has been shown to be associated with atopy. When steroid treatment was first introduced in the 1960s, the risk of morbidity and mortality was exceptionally high [5]. Steroid treatment significantly reduced this risk. At the time of diagnosis, the majority of clinical guidelines recommend a minimum of 12 to 16 weeks of steroid therapy. This is followed by the administration of second-line medicines in the event that the kid is determined to be steroid resistant, steroid dependent, or a frequent relapser. The current clinical convention is that the initial response to steroids will determine the long-term risk of disease progression. However, it is estimated that approximately twenty percent of children with nephrotic syndrome from European ancestry will not respond to steroids. Furthermore, the rates of steroid treatment resistance are reported to be significantly higher among those with African (approximately sixteen to twenty-seven percent) and Asian (approximately twenty-seven to fifty-four percent) ancestry [6].

Within the field of pediatric nephrology, childhood nephrotic syndrome is extremely common. The majority of the time, hospitalization is required for the management of the patient. It has been shown in an increasing number of studies that receiving appropriate nursing care has the potential to increase the rate of therapy and enhance the prognosis following treatment. When we talk about clinical nursing routes, we are referring to novel nursing modes that are associated with high-quality, great efficacy, and low-cost care. There are papers that discuss how nursing practices that make use of data can be combined with clinical nursing pathways to improve the care that is provided to children who have nephrotic syndrome. On the other hand, the findings continue to be contentious. As a result, it is essential to carry out this research in order to investigate in a methodical manner the role that evidence-based nursing, clinical nursing, general practitioner, and clinical laboratory play in the treatment of nephrotic syndrome [7].

Review:

An evolving picture of the role that genetics play in nephrotic syndrome is likewise one that is being developed. Both the higher rates of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), a steroid-resistant form of nephrotic syndrome, and the higher rates of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) among African Americans in comparison to European Americans were shown to be explained by the gene MYH9 in the year 2008 [8]. In 2010, a gene called APOL1 that is located next to the chromosome 22 locus was found to be associated with both FSGS and ESRD. The likelihood of developing advanced renal disease is two to seven times higher for individuals who contain risk alleles of either MYH9 or APOL1 in comparison to those who are considered to be controls. In addition, APOL1 has been related with nephropathy that is connected with HIV [9]. Among Europeans, the chromosome 22 locus is also connected with both the susceptibility to kidney disease and the

progression of renal disease; however, the allele frequency is low, and as a result, it cannot be used for clinical screening of progression. Furthermore, the frequency of the APOL1 allele changes based on ethnicity; however, research has not yet determined whether or if people of Asian or South Asian descent are at a higher risk than people of other ethnic backgrounds [10]. Due to the fact that children have a shorter amount of time to be exposed to non-genetic factors that increase illness risk than adults do, it is possible that genetic factors have a substantial role in the development of chronic diseases in children.

There is a high probability that the beginning and progression of nephrotic syndrome are contributed to by a combination of environmental and genetic factors. There is a correlation between the start of nephrotic syndrome and environmental factors, such as exposure to mercury (in adults), a history of atopy, and immunological response [10]. The involvement of these exposures in nephrotic syndrome has never been specifically recognized [11], despite the fact that renal consequences have been discovered in children as a result of low-level exposures to cadmium, lead, mercury, and arsenic. There is a small body of literature that describes the associations between childhood nephrotic syndrome and socio-demographic factors; however, the majority of these studies are either cross-sectional or prospective, and they have limited follow-up. As a result, our understanding of the factors that determine health for children who have nephrotic syndrome and their families is limited due to limitations in study design and follow-up [11]. As a consequence of this, there is a substantial void in the body of research concerning the role that environmental and socio-demographic factors play in the development of nephrotic syndrome in children over the course of their lifetime. It is possible that the variation in incidence and progression rates among different ethnic groups can be attributed to a number of factors, including socio-demographic factors like economic status, child quality of life, and parental well-being; environmental factors like exposures to lead or heavy metals; serological modifiers; clinical factors like hypertension or body mass index; or genetic factors. Researchers would be able to address temporal association in order to find gene or gene-environment interactions that cannot be identified in case–control or cross-sectional study designs [12]. This would be possible with a prospective cohort that addresses these variables. If, for instance, genetic screening in children could identify those who will have worse outcomes and an increased likelihood of progression, then the clinical strategies that are currently in use will be called into question, and alternative treatments to delay progression may be considered, such as the use of antihypertensive medications [12].

As a means of providing helpful knowledge regarding various patients and their treatment, including the provision of uncomplicated support in clinical settings, the clinical nursing pathway is usually recognized to be a means that provides such knowledge. As a result, it is a cutting-edge nursing option that is of superior quality, possesses outstanding efficiency, and is reasonably priced. Previous research has demonstrated that implementing a clinical nursing route for mental health in order to provide assistance to patients who are afflicted with malignant tumors can significantly minimize the number of suicidal thoughts that patients have and improve their quality of life. As the corpus of knowledge connected with nursing that is based on evidence continues to expand and as nursing practice becomes increasingly dependent on genuine, trustworthy scientific evidence from patients, the traditional restricted empiricism nursing model is gradually being transformed into a novel nursing paradigm. When it comes to providing care for children who have nephrotic syndrome, there have been very few studies that have assessed the impact of applying nursing models that are based on evidence in conjunction with clinical nursing pathways. However, the findings have been met with some debate. In light of this, the current research endeavor will conduct an in-depth investigation on the role that evidence-based nursing, in conjunction with clinical nursing, plays in the provision of care for children who have nephrotic syndrome [13].

Conclusion:

Nephrotic syndrome is characterized by three clinical features: edema, significant proteinuria (> 3.5 g/24 hours), and hypoalbuminemia (< 30 g/L). It is frequently linked to hyperlipidemia, thromboembolism, and a heightened susceptibility to infection. Nephrotic syndrome arises as a result of pathological damage to the renal glomeruli. This could be a main issue related to a kidney disease or it could be a secondary issue caused by a systemic disorder like diabetes mellitus. Minimal change glomerulonephritis is the most frequent cause in youngsters. Membranous nephropathy is the primary cause of nephrotic syndrome in white adults, but focal segmental glomerulosclerosis is the predominant cause in populations of African ancestry. Diabetic nephropathy is the predominant multisystem disorder responsible for the development of nephrotic syndrome. Patients commonly exhibit swelling around the eyes (most evident in the morning) or swelling that leaves an indentation when pressed (more common later in the day). Proteinuria should be confirmed with a quantitative assessment, such as measuring the urine protein: creatinine ratio (PCR) or albumin: creatinine ratio (ACR). A PCR level more than 300-350 mg/mmol implies proteinuria in the nephrotic range. An immediate referral to a nephrologist is essential, preferably within a two-week timeframe, and a kidney biopsy is typically conducted. This will determine the specific type of glomerular illness that is accountable. Further examinations may be conducted to determine whether nephrotic syndrome is a result of another condition, such as systemic lupus erythematosus or amyloidosis.

Reference

[1] Banh TH, Hussain-Shamsy N, Patel V, et al.. Ethnic differences in incidence and outcomes of childhood nephrotic syndrome. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2016;11:1760–8.

[2] Chanchlani R, Parekh RS. Ethnic differences in childhood nephrotic syndrome. Front Pediatr 2016;4:39.

[3] Primary nephrotic syndrome in children: clinical significance of histopathologic variants of minimal change and of diffuse mesangial hypercellularity. A Report of the International Study of Kidney Disease in Children. Kidney Int 1981;20:765–71.

[4] Trautmann A, Schnaidt S, Lipska-Ziętkiewicz BS, et al.. Long-term outcome of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome in children. J Am Soc Nephrol 2017;28:3055–65.

[5] Kwon H, Lee JH, Woo J, et al.. Efficacy of a clinical pathway for patients with thyroid cancer. Head Neck 2018;40:1909–16.

[6] Li M, Liu H. Implementation of a clinical nursing pathway for percutaneous coronary intervention: a prospective study. Geriatr Nurs 2018;39:593–6.

[7] Xu Z, Chen B, Li G, et al.. The interference in the suicide ideation of patients with malignant tumors by mental clinical nursing pathway. Patient Prefer Adherence 2014;8:1665–9.

[8] Bonell C. Evidence-based nursing: a stereotyped view of quantitative and experimental research could work against professional autonomy and authority. J Adv Nurs 1999;30:18–23.

[9] Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al.. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:01.

[10] Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al.. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011;343:d5928.

[11] Bhimma R, Adhikari M, Asharam K. Steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome: the influence of race on cyclophosphamide sensitivity. Pediatr Nephrol. 2006;21(12):1847–1853. doi: 10.1007/s00467-006-0276-2.

[12] Copelovitch L, Sam Ol O, Taraquinio S, Chanpheaktra N. Childhood nephrotic syndrome in Cambodia: an association with gastrointestinal parasites. J Pediatr. 2010;156(1):76–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.06.049.

[13] Mubarak M, Lanewala A, Kazi JI, Akhter F, Sher A, Fayyaz A, Bhatti S. Histopathological spectrum of childhood nephrotic syndrome in Pakistan. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2009;13(6):589–593. doi: 10.1007/s10157-009-0216-0.